San Joaquin Valley Regional Planning Agencies’ Directors’ Committee

Meeting Agenda
Monday, December 2, 2019
Time: 10:00 a.m.

Meeting Host and Location:
Merced County Association of Governments
369 W 18th Street
Merced, CA 95340

Teleconference Number: 1-515-604-9094
Participant Code: 432-600-639

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. November 6, 2019 Directors’ Meeting
   P. Taylor

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS:

2. RTP/Sustainable Communities Strategies/Air Quality
   Update and Discussion
   R. Niblock

3. State Route 99 Funding (ITIP)
   Update and Discussion
   P. Taylor

4. I-5 Self-Driving Truck Study
   Update and Discussion
   A. Hakimi
5. California Transportation Funding

   Program and Project Funding Updates:
   - Trade Corridor Enhancement Program (SJCOG & MCAG)
   - Solutions for Congested Corridors Program (Fresno COG)

6. San Joaquin Valley Multiagency Working Group for Housing

   Update and Discussion; Request for Staff Direction

R. Phipps

7. Valley Voice Sacramento

   Update and Discussion

M. Sigala

G. Khouri


   Receive Update

P. Taylor

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

The following items are for informational purposes and require no action or vote. Written summaries of Informational Items are included in the agenda packet.

9. Caltrans Directors’ Report

   S. Ehler/D. McElhinney

10. California High Speed Rail

    D. Gomez

11. San Joaquin JPA for Passenger Rail

    D. Leavitt

12. Valley GO (MioCar) and Valley Flex

    M. Sigala

13. California Partnership for the San Joaquin Valley

    F. Cardoza

OTHER ITEMS

14. Director Items

15. Public Presentations for Items Not on Agenda.

This portion of the meeting is reserved for persons wishing to address the Committee on items within its jurisdiction but NOT on this agenda. Unscheduled comments may be limited to three minutes. The public may comment on listed agenda items as they are considered.

ADJOURN MEETING

Directors Only Closed Session if Necessary

Next Directors’ Meeting: Thursday, January 9, 2020 in Fresno

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Accommodations The meeting room and restrooms are ADA accessible. Representatives or individuals with disabilities should contact the SJV Regional Planning Agencies at (559) 266-6222, at least three days in advance, to request auxiliary aids and/or translation services necessary to participate in the meeting.
Meeting Minutes
Wednesday, November 6, 2019
Time: 11:10 a.m.

Meeting Location:
Fresno Council of Governments
2035 Tulare Street, Suite 201
Fresno, CA 93721

Directors | MPOs
--- | ---
Patricia Taylor | Madera County Transportation Commission
Rosa Park | Stanislaus Council of Governments
Tony Boren | Fresno Council of Governments
Stacie Dabbs Guzman | Merced County Association of Governments
Ted Smalley | Tule County Association of Governments
Ahron Hakimi | Kern Council of Governments
Terri King | Kings County Association of Governments
Andrew Chesley | San Joaquin Council of Governments

Please see Appendix A for a list of other attendees

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. September 5, 2019 Directors’ Meeting

   There was a motion to approve the September 5, 2019 Directors Committee Minutes.

   First Motion: Ahron Hakimi
   Second Motion: Terri King
   Motion Carried
DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS:

2. RTP/Sustainable Communities Strategies/Air Quality
   R. Niblock
   a) Update and Discussion
   On October 29th, a large group of automakers came out in support of EPA in their efforts to end California's Waiver through the SAFE Rule. This included GM, Toyota, Fiat, Chrysler, Nissan, Hyundai, and Kia, along with supercar makers Maserati, McLaren, Aston-Martin, and Ferrari. Until this announcement, CARB was actively working to try and strike a similar deal with these automakers as they had with Ford, VW, Honda, and BMW.

   As of the October RTPA meeting, EPA and NHTSA have not come together yet to provide guidance on how to address Part 1 of the SAFE rule, which was the withdrawal of the CA Waiver. FHWA encourages amendments be processed prior to the November 26th effective date. Current approved projects can move forward as long as they remain consistent (scope and schedule) with the TIP and RTP.

   CARB has established an Interagency working group with CalSTA and Caltrans to work through adjustments that could be made to the current emissions model (EMFAC) to address issues in an open process.

   b) Consider Approving Extension of Existing Contract with Trinity Consultants for One Year
   There was a motion to approve extending the existing contract with Trinity Consultants for one calendar year in the amount of $125,000. Ted Smalley noted that if Alex M. can no longer work on this contract for the Valley, they would have to reconsider this consulting assignment to Trinity.

      First Motion: Ahron Hakimi
      Second Motion: Terri King
      Motion Carried

3. I-5 Self-Driving Truck Study
   A. Hakimi
   Update and Discussion
   Ahron Hakimi reported that significant progress has been made since his last update. Caltrans transferred $200k of PL funds from Kings to Kern. The negotiation process with UC Davis regarding the scope has started and is almost complete. San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District, Air Resources Board, and Caltrans have all committed to providing match. Lastly, Mr. Hakimi reported that the actual study is anticipated to start in early 2020.

4. Aviation-Ground Innovations Coalition
   Approve Resolution for Valley MPO Participation; Presentation by Keith Bergthold
   Keith Bergthold (Regenerate California Innovation) and Joseph Oldham (New Vision Aviation) provided a presentation on electric aircraft, and requested that Directors support a resolution to establish a Valley EV Aviation-Ground Innovations Coalition.

   There was a motion to support a resolution to form a Valley EV Aviation-Ground Innovations Coalition.

      First Motion: Stacie Dabbs
      Second Motion: Ahron Hakimi
      Motion Carried

5. California Transportation Funding
   P. Taylor
   Program and Project Funding Updates
There was a recommendation to consider taking a Valley wide position on a number of projects. Discussion followed. In January, the Directors will consider priority valley projects for the TCEP.

6. San Joaquin Valley Multiagency Working Group for Housing (Update and Discussion)
   R. Phipps/M. Sigala
   Michael Sigala briefly reviewed the guidance on the formation of the working group. He proposed that the first working group meeting happen immediately after the Regional Policy Council Meeting on January 17, 2020. The agenda for the first working group meeting, scope for initial application, and other items will be discussed at the December Directors’ meeting.

7. Valley Voice
   M. Sigala
      Michael Sigala referenced the meeting summary report from Simon and Company in the informational packet.
   b. Discuss Timing for Valley Voice Sacramento 2020
      Directors discussed having the trip in March, and suggestions to make the trip more effective.

   There was a motion to approve Gus Khouri (Khouri Consulting) as the lobbyist for Valley Voice Sacramento 2020.

   First Motion: Tony Boren
   Second Motion: Andrew Chesley
   Motion Carried

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

8. Caltrans Directors’ Report
   S. Ehlert/D. McElhinney
   Adetokunbo “Toks” Omishakin started as the new Caltrans director on October 23rd. The SR 132 West groundbreaking two lanes from SR 99 to Dakota Ave. (Phase 1) was held on October 18th. Bids opened on September 11th for SR 99 Livingston northbound widening project, and construction is anticipated to begin soon.

9. California High Speed Rail
   D. Gomez
   No update was provided.

10. San Joaquin JPA for Passenger Rail
    D. Leavitt
    Next board meeting is December 22nd in Merced.

11. Valley Go (MioCar) and Valley Flex
    M. Sigala
    A press event for Miocar was held November 1st. There are currently 17 level 2 dual port chargers installed at 8 different affordable housing communities in Kern and Tulare counties for Valley Go. The volunteer ride-hailing program in the north valley continues to roll out. Partners are working with ARB to secure gap and extension funding for the projects.

12. California Partnership for the San Joaquin Valley
    F. Cardoza
    No update was provided.

OTHER ITEMS

13. Director Items
    Ted Smalley provided a reminder for the CTC Town Hall Meeting on April 22nd and 23rd.

14. Public Presentations for Items not on Agenda
Tashia Clemons (FHWA) provided an update on the SAFE Vehicles Rule, and introduced Jasmine Amanin, a new planner that will be working with the Valley MPOs.

Meeting Adjourned at Approximately 2:00 P.M.

Next Directors’ Meeting: Monday, December 2, 2019 in Merced

Appendix A – Additional Meeting Attendees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In Attendance:</th>
<th>Organization(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Sigala</td>
<td>Valleywide Coordinator, Sigala Inc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryan Niblock</td>
<td>San Joaquin Council of Governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Becky Napier (ph)</td>
<td>Kern Council of Governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Fell</td>
<td>Merced County Association of Governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Phipps</td>
<td>Fresno Council of Governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Baxter (ph)</td>
<td>Caltrans D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Jordan</td>
<td>SJVAPCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Kimball (ph)</td>
<td>Tulare County Association of Governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dylan Stone (ph)</td>
<td>Madera County Transportation Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristine Cai</td>
<td>Fresno Council of Governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasha Clemons</td>
<td>Federal Highway Administration CA Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasmine Amanin</td>
<td>Federal Highway Administration CA Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Oldham</td>
<td>New Vision Aviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith Bergthold</td>
<td>Regenerate California Innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trai Her-Cole</td>
<td>Fresno Council of Governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samir Sheikh</td>
<td>SJVAPCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamie Holt</td>
<td>SJVAPCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Carson (ph)</td>
<td>Federal Highway Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Dumas (ph)</td>
<td>Caltrans D10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TO: San Joaquin Valley Regional Planning Agencies Directors’ Committee

FROM: Robert Phipps, Fresno COG
and Michael Sigala, Sigala, Inc.

RE: REGIONAL EARLY ACTION PLANNING (REAP) COMMITTEE

SUMMARY:
The Committee mandated under AB 101 to allocate an anticipated $20 million in state housing planning funding to the San Joaquin Valley has been largely formed. Statute requires that the Committee comprise three “representatives” from each of the eight Valley Counties. At the time this staff report was produced, Stanislaus, Merced and Kings still needed to appoint a combined five members to complete the 24-member requirement. The first meeting is scheduled for January 17, 2019 in Fresno, following the Policy Council.

In an hour-long phone call with representatives at the California Housing and Community Development Department on Nov. 19, Nurulain Kausar and Paul McDougall indicated that HCD would most likely require at least one or more Valleywide products or activities through the forthcoming guidelines, which are expected to be finalized in late January 2020. In addition, staff learned that HCD expects the funding to be used only for approved planning expenses, as opposed to any sort of implementation. For example, off-setting developer fees will be disallowed. Specifically, HCD indicated that a housing trust fund may be established, but not funded, under the expected guidelines.

Prior to the first REAP Committee meeting, staff requires the COG Directors to address multiple concerns/issues enumerated below to ensure that AB 101 mandates and funding are addressed and apportioned as quickly as possible.

1. **Draft bylaws** – Attached are a set of draft bylaws for the REAP Committee, which are based largely on the bylaws established for the SJV Regional Policy Council. Of particular note is a provision allowing for, but not requiring, a technical advisory subcommittee beyond the COG Directors group. A second provision establishes the quorum threshold to include only those present for a meeting in person or on teleconference. Thirdly, staff is proposing a mileage reimbursement and a $100 stipend per meeting for each REAP Committee member.

   *Decision point: Are these provisions acceptable?* Additional questions regarding the technical advisory subcommittee in particular are presented below.

2. **Technical advisory subcommittee (TAC)** – At the November COG Directors meeting, staff began but did not complete a discussion of how a technical advisory subcommittee might function.
relative to the REAP Committee. There are multiple options available, three of which will be discussed in detail below:

a. **REAP Committee appointments**: Allow each member of the REAP Committee to appoint one representative to the TAC and have the TAC report directly to the REAP Committee.
   1. **Pros**: Allows for broad representation of housing interests/specialties and keeps the COG Directors at a distance regarding decisions about how the funds are apportioned or spent.
   2. **Cons**: Adds another layer of bureaucracy to an already larded process; introduces an unknown element of special interest representation into the proceedings, potentially slowing decision-making.

b. **COG Directors double as the TAC**: As a regional entity it may be appropriate to rely on the COG Directors group, which is already well-established, to also serve as the technical committee. Directors could act as gatekeepers for special interest representation, either directly through live presentations or indirectly through the public outreach process.
   1. **Pros**: Keeps the process close to COG administration and simplifies the decision-making process.
   2. **Cons**: Makes COGs broadly accountable for the entire apportionment process, including any complaints about access to that process from special interests. Not all REAP Committee members will be familiar with COGs and may not be amenable to that level of control.

c. **Hybrid approach**: COG Directors form the basis of the TAC with one additional representative from each county.
   1. **Pros**: Broadens access to the REAP Committee by allowing at least one additional representative per county to provide counsel. Presumably, the additional representative would be someone with housing expertise. Helps assure that recommendations have been vetted through housing interests.
   2. **Cons**: Would require separate, additional meetings for Directors at least quarterly while potentially still subjecting them to complaints about designing a closed process.

**Decision point: Which approach is preferred?**

3. **Initial funding application** – During the Nov. 18 staff call, HCD expressed its assumption that the first 25 percent of funding, or approximately $5 million, would be used for the statutory requirement to engage in a robust public outreach process, presumably to gather input on how the remaining funds should be spent. In addition, HCD pointed out that the entire REAP program is intended to support the upcoming sixth-cycle Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) process, and so it may be appropriate for COGs to reserve a portion of funding for that process.

**Decision point: Should staff present a completed application for the initial 25 percent of available funding with priority recommendations during the first REAP Committee meeting, or present a list of eligible expenditures and allow the Committee to decide its priorities?**

Considerations for this question include whether to plan for consultant expenses at this point to assist developing the full application and/or to develop a Valleywide white paper that enumerates
and summarizes Valley housing issues. *In addition, do the Directors wish to reserve some portion of funding for administration and/or COGs to help pay for their individual RHNA processes?*

4. **Jan. 17 REAP Committee agenda** – Staff envisions the following agenda items for the first REAP Committee meeting:
   a. AB 101 overview (PowerPoint presentation)
   b. Approve bylaws
      i. Appoint Chairperson
      ii. Appoint Vice-Chairperson
      iii. Assign fiscal agent
   c. Approve technical advisory committee recommendation
   d. Discuss/approve initial funding application
   e. Discuss future meeting dates/locations

   *Decision point: Does this capture all relevant issues for the initial meeting?*

   **ACTION:**
   Approve and Recommend to the Regional Early Action Planning Committee
   - REAP Committee bylaws
   - Technical advisory subcommittee structure
   - Initial funding application
San Joaquin Valley Multiagency Working Group
Version November 27, 2019

Kern County
Supervisor Zack Scrivner, County of Kern (County Rep. and Kern COG Board Member)
Councilmember Bob Smith, City of Bakersfield (Large City Rep. and Chairman of Kern COG)
Councilmember Cathy Prout, City of Shafter (Small City Rep.)

Kings County
Councilmember Glenda Woolley, City of Avenal (Small City Rep. and KCAG Board Member)
Mayor Sue Sorensen, City of Hanford (Large City Rep.)

Fresno County
Supervisor Steve Brandau, County of Fresno (County Rep)
Mayor Lee Brand, City of Fresno (Large City Representative)
Vice Mayor Gary Yep, City of Kerman (Small City Representative)

Madera County
Supervisor Robert Poythress, County of Madera (County Rep. and MCTC Board Member)
Mayor Andy Medellin, City of Madera (Large City Rep.)
Council Member Diana Palmer, City of Chowchilla (Small City Rep.)

Merced County
Supervisor Lloyd Pareira, County of Merced (County Rep. and MCAG Board Member)

San Joaquin County
Supervisor Chuck Winn, County of San Joaquin (County Rep. and SJ COG Board Member)
Vice Mayor Dan Wright, City of Stockton (Large City Rep.)
Councilmember Gary Singh, City of Manteca (Small City Rep.)

Stanislaus County
Supervisor Vito Chiesa, County of Stanislaus (County Rep. and StanCOG Board Member)
Bill O’Brien, private citizen representing Larger City (formerly served County BOS, StanCOG Board and SJV Policy Council).
Anthony Canella, private citizen representing Small Cities (former Senator).

Tulare County
Supervisor Ed Valera, County of Tulare (County Rep. and TCAG Board Member)
Councilmember Frankie Alves, City of Exeter (Small City Rep.)
Mayor Martha Flores, City of Porterville (Large City Rep.)
San Joaquin Valley Regional Early Action Planning (REAP) Committee
Bylaws

WHEREAS, Gov. Gavin Newsom signed Assembly Bill 101 in September 2019, which grants $125 million in state Regional Early Action Planning (REAP) housing assistance funds to regional planning entities, and

WHEREAS, AB 101’s provisions require the San Joaquin Valley’s regional planning agencies to form a committee comprising three representatives from each of the Valley’s eight counties to administer the Valley’s approximately $20 million share of REAP funds, and

WHEREAS, in 2009, the Valley’s eight regional planning agencies executed a Memorandum of Understanding creating the San Joaquin Valley Regional Planning Agencies Policy Council, and

WHEREAS, the Policy Council’s organizational structure, process and functions provide a solid foundation for the San Joaquin Valley REAP Committee:

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. The San Joaquin Valley REAP Committee’s (Committee) by-laws shall be specified herein, except that these bylaws shall not limit, restrict, modify or supersede those responsibilities of any member jurisdiction or regional planning agency.

2. Committee membership shall be as provided under AB 101, and specifically Section 11, Chapter 3.1, Section 50515.02 within Part 2 of Division 31 of the California Health and Safety Code.

3. The Committee, as per the Memorandum of Understanding, shall provide guidance on allocating Regional Early Action Planning funds, as defined in Sec. 11. Chapter 3.1. Section 50515.02 within Part 2 of Division 31 of the California Health and Safety Code. The Committee shall also represent the San Joaquin Valley at public forums, including before the California Department of Housing and Community Development, the Governor and his/her administration, and before State and Federal legislative bodies that require a common voice. The Committee shall also provide direction and guidance to the Regional Planning Agencies Executive Directors Committee regarding AB 101’s mandates, provisions, funding allocation, reporting and general execution.

4. The Committee shall have the ability to form additional subcommittees, either formally or ad hoc, including a Technical Advisory Subcommittee, comprising members of the Committee’s choosing, to advise Committee members on any technical, housing-related issues, policies, plans, statutes, requirements, mandates or other issues of concern as required.

5. The Committee shall meet at least quarterly each fiscal year, at such time and location as may be prescribed by vote of the membership at a previous meeting. If no time or location is so prescribed, the Chairman, or his representative, shall be authorized to fix said meeting time and location. Committee staff will ensure
accommodations for members to officially participate in all meetings through video-conferencing and/or telephone conference.

The Chairperson may call special meetings at any time. The members shall be notified via e-mail of all special and regular meetings; written nature of meeting shall be delivered by email or other appropriate means not less than five days prior to the meeting.

All regular and special meetings shall be conducted in accordance with the Ralph M. Brown Act, as amended.

Except as provided herein, the most recent edition of Robert’s Rules of Order shall constitute the parliamentary authority for the Council.

Items of an emergency nature that require immediate action may be added to the agenda in accordance with the provisions of Government Code Section 54954.2.

6. The duly authorized representatives of those attending a meeting shall constitute a quorum for transacting all Committee business. No action shall be taken except upon a majority vote 2/3 of those present and voting.

7. Committee members shall be reimbursed for mileage at the maximum rate allowed under IRS standard rates, and paid a $100 per meeting stipend for attendance.

8. Committee officers shall consist of a Chairperson and Vice-Chair, who shall be elected from the duly authorized representatives. Their duties shall be as follows:

   a. Chairperson: To preside over all meetings, maintain order, decide questions of parliamentary procedure, call special meetings, and such other duties as elsewhere herein provided.

   b. Vice-Chair: To perform the duties of the Chairman in the absence of such officer or upon disqualification of such officer.

9. Nomination and election of officers shall be held in January, at the beginning of each calendar year, or at the first meeting subsequent to January. Such officers shall serve a one-year term. Any vacancy during the term shall be filled by nomination and election of such officer for the remainder of the term.

10. Nominations may be made either by a committee appointed by the Chairperson or directly from the floor by duly authorized representatives.

11. An election for each office, beginning with that of Chairperson, shall be held immediately after all nominations have been declared closed by the Chairperson. If there is more than one nominee for any office, voting shall be by roll call of all duly authorized representatives.

12. Officers shall take office immediately after their election or as soon thereafter as practicable, and serve until disqualified or their successors are duly elected, whichever condition occurs first.
13. The Committee shall be responsible for making all amendments to these bylaws.

   a. Proposed amendments may be originated by the any duly authorized Committee representative.
   b. Proposed amendments may not be finally acted upon unless all of the members have been given written notice thereof at a prior meeting or by email at least 15 days prior to the date of the meeting at which final action is to be taken.
   c. Amendments to these bylaws shall require a majority of the duly authorized representatives of the members to approve, the same number of members that constitute a quorum to transact all Committee business.

14. All administrative work of REAP Committee shall be performed by or under the direction of the Executive Directors Committee. The Executive Directors Committee, or its designee, shall:

   a. Serve as Secretary to the REAP Committee.
   b. Serve as Secretary to such subcommittees as the REAP Committee may establish.
   c. Keep a good and sufficient record of the REAP Committee's proceedings and business.
   d. Maintain files for all REAP Committee reports, correspondence and other business.
   e. Maintain a record of all financial transactions.
   f. Perform such other duties as may be assigned.
October 10, 2019

Regional Early Action Planning Grants Program (REAP)
(The regional component of the Local Government Planning Support Grants Program as described in Health and Safety Code section 50515.02)
Advance Allocation Request for Councils of Government and Multiagency Working Groups

Amount available: 25% of total maximum funding allocation

On or after October 1, 2019, Health and Safety Code Section 50515.02(d)(3) permits a council of governments or a fiscal agent of a multiagency working group to request up to 25 percent of funding available to it through this program in advance of submitting an application to request an allocation of funds, to develop and accelerate preparation of the application requirements described in Health and Safety Code Section 50515.02(d)(1), including, but not limited to education and outreach strategies related to the sixth cycle regional housing need allocation. Submission of this form, along with a resolution of the Council or fiscal agent authorizing this request shall constitute an advance allocation request pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 50515.02(d)(3).

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Entity Name:
Address:
City: Zip Code:
Website Address:

CONTACT INFORMATION

Title:
Name:
Phone Number: Email Address:

Amount requested:
Please describe the proposed activities that would be funded through this request, and how your regional entity will use these funds to prepare for the full application requirements:

(1) An allocation budget for the funds provided pursuant to this section.
(2) The amounts retained by the council of governments, regional entity, or county, and any suballocations to jurisdictions.
(3) An explanation of how proposed uses will increase housing planning and facilitate local housing production.
(4) Identification of current best practices at the regional and statewide level that promote sufficient supply of housing affordable to all income levels, and a strategy for increasing adoption of these practices at the regional level, where viable.
(5) An education and outreach strategy to inform local agencies of the need and benefits of taking early action related to the sixth cycle regional housing need allocation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Activity</th>
<th>Application Requirement(s) Developed or Accelerated through the Proposed Activity</th>
<th>Timing of Proposed Activity</th>
<th>Amount of Funds Utilized for Proposed Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Use additional pages as needed. The fund recipient should discuss and seek approval from HCD if there are desired changes in proposed uses of these funds after submission of this funding request.
Proposed Uses
Health and Safety Code Section 50515.02(e) sets forth the eligible uses of Early Action Planning Grants funding by regional entities. Please select all that apply to this Request for Initial Funding. This selection represents your commitment to use funds on these eligible uses.

(Select all that apply)

☐ Developing an improved methodology for the distribution of the sixth-cycle Regional Housing Need Assessment

☐ Suballocating moneys to jurisdictions or other subregional entities to support planning to accelerate housing production in ways which align with state planning priorities, housing, transportation, equity, and climate goals. If any of this initial funding request is used to suballocate, an entity that receives the suballocation shall only use that suballocation for housing-related planning activities, described in Health and Safety Code Section 50515.02(f).

☐ Providing jurisdictions and other local agencies with technical assistance, planning, temporary staffing or consultant needs associated with updating local planning and zoning documents, expediting application processing, or other actions to accelerate additional housing production.
   ☐ If proposing to use other actions please describe:

☐ Covering the costs of administering this grants program.

The Council of Governments or Multiagency Working Group agrees to all statutory requirements of the program set forth in Health and Safety Code Section 50515.02, to limit spending to eligible uses, to comply with all guidelines, Notices of Funding Availability and application requirements subsequent to this request pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 50515.02(d)(1) and to complete of all forms and reporting requested by the Department of Housing and Community Development.

Print Name: ________________________________

Signature of Person Designated by the Council of Governments or the Fiscal Agent of the Multiagency Working Group to Submit this Advance Allocation Request on Behalf of the Council or Multiagency Working Group:

________________________________________ Date: ________________

Page 3 of 4
Send completed advance application request, the executed Resolution and any additional supporting documents to:
EarlyActionPlanning@hcd.ca.gov

OR

California Department of Housing and Community Development
Attention: Housing Policy Division, Early Action Planning Grants
2020 W. El Camino Ave. Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95833
SAMPLE RESOLUTION

All information provided will be verified using the entity’s bylaws, or appropriate governing documents. If the governing documents of the organization are not reflective of the current board makeup, the Applicant/Requestor must notify HCD in writing of the discrepancy and provide an explanation.

The Authorizing Resolution shall be submitted with the Advance Allocation Request. The Department will not issue an advance until the Department receives a fully executed Authorizing Resolution.

[Insert Resolution Number]
[Insert Name of Regional Entity]

AUTHORIZING RESOLUTION

[All, or A necessary quorum and majority] of the [directors, supervisors, members, council members, etc.] of [official name of applicant entity, and type of entity: Council of Government or Multiagency Working Group.] ("Applicant") hereby consents to, adopts and ratifies the following resolution:

A. WHEREAS, the Department is authorized to provide up to $125,000,000 to Councils of Governments and Fiscal Agents of Multiagency Working Groups ("Applicant") under the Regional Early Action Planning grant program (REAP), the regional component of the Local Government Planning Support Grants Program (as described in Health and Safety Code section 50515.02).

B. WHEREAS the State of California (the “State”), Department of Housing and Community Development ("Department") issued a Notice and Request for Advance Allocation on October XX 2019 for Regional Early Action Planning grants available to Councils of Government and Fiscal Agents of Multiagency Working Groups;

C. WHEREAS Applicant is a Council of Governments or Fiscal Agent of a Multiagency Working Group eligible to submit a Request for Advance Allocation pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 50515.02(d)(3) to develop and accelerate the implementation of the requirements contained in the Council of Governments or Multiagency Working Group’s application pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 50515.02(d)(1) including the development of an education and outreach strategy related to the sixth cycle regional housing need allocation.

D. WHEREAS the Department shall approve the advance allocation request, subject to the terms and conditions of Eligibility, Guidelines, NOFAs, Program requirements, and the Standard Agreement by and between the Department and Local Government Planning Support Grant Recipients;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:
SAMPLE RESOLUTION

1. The [Council of Government/Fiscal Agent of a Multiagency Working Group] is hereby authorized and directed to request an advance allocation not to exceed $ [ ] (up to 25% of the amount allocated pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 50515.02(b) consistent with the methodology described in 50515.03(a)).

2. The [insert designee title] is authorized to execute the Advance Allocation Request, on behalf of the [Council of Governments/Multiagency Working Group] as required by the Department for receipt of REAP funds.

3. When [Council of Government/Fiscal Agent of a Multiagency Working Group] receives an advance allocation of REAP funds in the authorized amount of $ [ ] from the Department pursuant to the above referenced Advanced Allocation Request, it represents and certifies that it will use all such funds only for eligible activities as set forth in Health and Safety Code section 50515.02(d)(1), as approved by the Department and in accordance with all REAP requirements, guidelines, all applicable state and federal statutes, rules, regulations, and the Standard Agreement executed by and between the Applicant [Council of Government/Fiscal Agent of Multiagency Working Group] and the Department.

4. The [insert designee title] is authorized to enter into, execute and deliver a State of California Standard Agreement for the amount of $[ ], and any and all other documents required or deemed necessary or appropriate to evidence and secure the REAP advance allocation, the [Council of Government’s/Fiscal Agent of Multiagency Working Group’s] obligations related thereto and all amendments the Department deems necessary and in accordance with REAP.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the [Insert Name of Applicant Council of Government/Multiagency Working Group] this_____day of__,____ by the following vote:

AYES:_____ ABSTENTIONS:_____
NOES:_____ABSENT: ______

Signature of Approving Officer
[Insert printed name and title of Approving Officer]

INSTRUCTION: The attesting officer cannot be the person identified in the resolution as the authorized signor.

ATTEST:_________________________________
Signature of Attesting Officer
[Insert printed name and title of Attesting Officer]
INFORMATIONAL ITEMS – December 2, 2019

9. Caltrans Directors’ Report  

S. Ehler/D. McElhinney

Sharri Ehler (District 6 Director) and Dan McElhinney (District 10 Director), or their representatives, may be in attendance to provide an update, and answer any questions.

10. California High Speed Rail  

D. Gomez

Diana Gomez, Central Valley Regional Director, or her representative may be in attendance to provide an update, and answer any questions.

11. San Joaquin JPA for Passenger Rail  

D. Leavitt

Dan Leavitt, Manager of Regional Initiatives, or his representative may be in attendance to provide an update, and answer any questions.

12. Rural Transit Alternatives (Valley Go and Valley Flex)  

M. Sigala

• Valley Go/MioCar – 17 EV charging stations in eight affordable housing communities have been installed in Tulare and Kern Counties.
• 26 electric vehicles have been purchased and delivered, and one car purchase is pending. The user software development is complete and user registrations are ongoing. There are currently over 200 active users for MioCar, with several applications pending. A press event with ARB, the Air District and project partners was held on November 1, 2019 in Wasco.
• Valley Flex/Vamos Mobility - Development of the Valley Flex software is complete. The free smartphone app “Vamos Mobility” is now downloadable for users to find the best transit options in and around San Joaquin and Stanislaus counties. Outreach for Vamos Mobility is ongoing. A volunteer ride-sharing service (VoGo) led by Move Stanislaus is currently being tested and will eventually be integrated into the Vamos Mobility app.
• CARB has requested a funding proposal to continue the Valley Go and Valley Flex for one more year. The project team is in discussions with CARB and the Air District for continued “gap” funding.
• All Major work efforts are posted on the sjvcog’s website: http://sjvcogs.org/rural-transit-needs-related-projects/

13. California Partnership for the San Joaquin Valley  

F. Cardoza

Frida Cardoza, Community and Regional Planning Center Coordinator, may be in attendance to provide an update and answer any questions.
SAVE THE DATE

MAY 13th - 15th 2020

The 15th Annual San Joaquin Valley Policy Conference
Bass Lake, Madera County

Hosted by Madera County Transportation Commission.
Make hotel reservations now by calling the Pines Resort at 559-692-8816.

We look forward to seeing you there!

Sponsorships Available Now

Contact us at: swest@westdirections.com